



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/563,173

12/30/2005

Tuija Suortti

OUTT 3399

3755

7812 7590 03/24/2009  
SMITH-HILL AND BEDELL, P.C.  
16100 NW CORNELL ROAD, SUITE 220  
BEAVERTON, OR 97006

EXAMINER

SHEEHAN, JOHN P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1793

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/24/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/563,173	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SUORTTI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> John P. Sheehan	<b>Art Unit</b> 1793	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John P. Sheehan. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. John Smith-Hill. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 25-42.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Smith-Hill called to propose an amendment after Final Rejection. Mr. Smith-Hill proposed canceling claims 25 to 39 and amending each of claims 40 and 42 by designating each recited process step with a letter, e.g., a, b, c, d, etc. and referring to these letter designations to clarify subsequently recited steps. The Examiner indicated that there was a good chance that this proposed amendment would overcome the rejections set forth in the Final Rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/John P. Sheehan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793	
--	--